(d) what are the details of visits by Special Team to each company and what was the purpose of these visits and to what extent it was accomplished;

(e) what are the reasons for short assessment by Special Team;

(f) whether any exlpanation has been called from Special Team; and

(g) if so, what are the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS (SHRI M. S. GURUPADASWAMY): (a) Special Team was not required to assess the liability in the case of M/s. Glaxo. However, in the case of M/s. Hoechst, some details for the purpose of determining the liability were collected by the Special Team.

(b) to (g) Information to the extent available would be collected and laid on the Table of the Rajya Sabha.

Assessment of overcharged amount on on Glybenclamide

879. SHRI DHULESHWAR MEENA:
SHRI ASHOK NATH VERMA:

Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS be pleased to refer to the answer to Starred Question 5 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 30th April, 1990 and state:

(a) what is the amount assessed against M/s. Hoechst by the Special Team in respect of Glybenclamide;

(b) what is the assessment of amount as a result of the review ordered by him;

(c) by when the amount upto 1987 would be assessed;

(d) whether it is a fact that his Ministry have found deliberate favour in the assessment of liability of this company during scrutiny; and

(e) if so, what are the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS (SHRI M. S. GURUPADASWAMY): (a) Special Team tentatively assessed an amount ot Rs. 2.59 lakhs upto December 1983 in respr:t of Glybenclamide.

(b) After review, the amount, has been . tentatively revised to Rs. 6.49 lakhs upto December, 1983.

(c) After completing the Review upto 1983 and finalising the liability, amount upto 25th August, 1987 wouid also be assessed.

(d) and (e) It is difficult to arrive at any conclusion till the process of review is com pleted.

Overcharging of flucort range of formulations

880. SHRI DHULESHWAR MEENA: SHRI ASHOK NATH VERMA: SHRI SANTOSH KUMAR SAHU: SMT. SATYA BAHIN:

Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS be pleased te refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 768 given in the Rajya Sabha on fhe 14th May, 1990 and state:

(a) what are the details of prices fixed and prices charged for Flucort range of formulations under DPCO, 1979;

(b) whether it is a fact that his Ministry has not received the details asked for in the notices;

(c) if so, what legal action has been taken against the producers; and

(d) when were the prices fixed and since when the producer has been overcharging the consumer and what is ihe pr^scit price of each formulation?

THE MINISTER OF PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS (SHRI M. S. GURUPADASWAMY): (a) A Statement I giving the requisite details is annexed. *(See* below).

(b) and (c) Yes, Sir. The Company has not furnished the complete details asked for by the Government. Before Government could proceed against them, the Company has filed a Writ Petition ia the Bombay High Court.